



Eastern Area Planning Committee

Date: Wednesday, 19 June 2024
Time: 10.00 am
Venue: The Allendale Centre, Hanham Road, Wimborne, Dorset, BH21 1AS

Members (Quorum: 6)

David Tooke (Chair), Duncan Sowry-House (Vice-Chair), Alex Brenton, Toni Coombs, Beryl Ezzard, Scott Florek, Spencer Flower, Barry Goringe, Hannah Hobbs-Chell, David Morgan, Andy Skeats and Bill Trite

Chief Executive: Matt Prosser, County Hall, Dorchester, Dorset DT1 1XJ

For more information about this agenda please contact Democratic Services Meeting Contact megan.r.rochester@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk

Members of the public are welcome to attend this meeting, apart from any items listed in the exempt part of this agenda.

For easy access to all the council's committee agendas and minutes download the free public app called Modern.Gov for use on any iPad, Android, and Windows tablet. Once downloaded select Dorset Council.

Agenda

Item		Pages
1.	APOLOGIES	
	To receive any apologies for absence	
2.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	
	To disclose any pecuniary, other registrable or personal interest as set out in the adopted Code of Conduct. In making their decision councillors are asked to state the agenda item, the nature of the interest and any action they propose to take as part of their declaration.	
	If required, further advice should be sought from the Monitoring Officer in advance of the meeting.	
3.	MINUTES	3 - 8

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on Wednesday 24th April 2024.

4. REGISTRATION FOR PUBLIC SPEAKING AND STATEMENTS

Members of the public wishing to speak to the Committee on a planning application should notify the Democratic Services Officer listed on the front of this agenda. This must be done no later than two clear working days before the meeting. Please refer to the Guide to Public Speaking at Planning Committee. [Guide to Public Speaking at Planning Committee](#)

The deadline for notifying a request to speak is 8.30am on Monday 17th June 2024.

5. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

To consider the applications listed below for planning permission

- 6. P/FUL/2022/07556 - THE OLD SAWMILLS, CLAYFORD, WIMBORNE, BH21 7BJ** 9 - 36

Retain change of use of buildings to B2 General Industrial use.

- 7. P/FUL/2022/07557 - THE OLD SAWMILLS, CLAYFORD, WIMBORNE, BH21 7BJ** 37 - 62

Retain change of use of yard to B2 general Industrial use to be used in conjunction with the buildings.

8. URGENT ITEMS

To consider any items of business which the Chairman has had prior notification and considers to be urgent pursuant to section 100B (4) b) of the Local Government Act 1972.

The reason for the urgency shall be recorded in the minutes.

9. EXEMPT BUSINESS

To move the exclusion of the press and the public for the following item in view of the likely disclosure of exempt information within the meaning of paragraph 3 of schedule 12 A to the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended). The public and the press will be asked to leave the meeting whilst the item of business is considered.

There are no exempt items scheduled for this meeting.



EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY 24 APRIL 2024

Present: Cllrs Toni Coombs (Chairman), Shane Bartlett (Vice-Chairman), Mike Barron, Alex Brenton, Robin Cook, Mike Dyer, Barry Goringe, David Morgan and David Tooke

Apologies: Cllrs Julie Robinson, Bill Trite and John Worth

Officers present (for all or part of the meeting):

Elizabeth Adams (Development Management Team Leader), Philip Crowther (Legal Business Partner - Regulatory), Robert Hanson (Engineer), Joshua Kennedy (Democratic Services Officer), Anna Lee (Service Manager for Development Management and Enforcement), John Miles (Democratic Services Officer), Megan Rochester (Democratic Services Officer), Steve Savage (Transport Development Liaison Manager), Naomi Shinkins (Lead Project Officer), Jane Vlach (Senior Planning Officer) and Sam Williams (Lead Senior Engineer).

76. Declarations of Interest

Cllr Mike Barron declared an interest to agenda item 6, in which he had undertaken a separate site visit with one of the Local Ward Members. Therefore, it was agreed that we would not take part in the debate or vote.

77. Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held on Wednesday 13th March 2024 were confirmed and signed.

78. Registration for public speaking

Representations by the public to the Committee on individual planning applications are detailed below. There were no questions, petitions or deputations received on other items on this occasion.

79. Planning Applications

Members considered written reports submitted on planning applications as set out below.

80. P/OUT/2022/04113 - Land off Blackfield Lane, West Moors, Ferndown,

BH22 0NH

The Case Officer reminded members of the application before them and noted some of the key information which was shown in the officer's presentation at the previous committee. This included details such as the application site in relation to the settlement boundary as well as highlighting the Local Plan Policy. All matters were reserved except for access and scale. The Case Officer also provided the following updates since the committee report on Wednesday 13th March 2024:

- Update to the housing land supply.
- Extension of time agreed to 1st May 2024 which was required due to the committee's decision of deferral.
- References to use class D1 had changed to refer to F1, in connection with public work or religious instruction as set out on March 13th Committee report.
- References to close care had been changed to nursing care.
- References to church/community hall had been changed to church in response to public representations.
- Comments received from Adult Social Care Team added to section 9.7 of the report.
- Reference to the Dorset Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment which had been added to section 15.6 of the report.
- Summary of comments received from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire Service were added to section 9.7 of the report.
- Summary of local representatives received prior to the previous committee meeting by Monday 15th April 2024 had been added to section 9.4 of the report.
- Origin Transport Consultant post committee added to the list of local resident reports submitted under section 9.5 of the report.

The following conditions had also been added as set out below.

- Renewable energy and water efficiency condition added.
- The number of bedrooms conditioned to 60 and the number of storeys limited to 2 stories high. The reason for this was to protect the character of the area and prevent over development of the site.
- Grampian condition was required for the removal of the utility pole at Station Road junction.
- Removal of permitted development rights for F1 use class added.
- Condition 15 LEMP – had been amended with the addition required in relation to Dorset Heathland fires.

The Case Officer discussed the site visit which had been carried out on Wednesday 17th April between 2:30-4pm. Highlighting that member had now viewed the site and the junction and had looked at alternative routes. The officer also discussed the comments which had been received post committee in relation to development from local residents, the summary of these comments could be found in section 9.5 of the report and full comments were available online. In

summary, the officer's recommendation had not changed, therefore, the recommendation was to grant permission subject to conditions listed in the officer's report and the updated conditions.

Public Participation

Representations made by the public for this item were heard at the previous committee meeting which was held on Wednesday 13th March 2024.

Members questions and comments

- Clarification on the differences between a care home and a nursing home as well as clarity on the intended use of the proposed church.
- Entitlement to approve part of the proposal and assess them as separate applications.
- Members referred to the site visit which was undertaken on Wednesday 17th April 2024 and raised concerns regarding visibility splays on the junction. Cllr Bartlett asked the Highways Officer to confirm the timeframe of the data.
- Possibility for the implementation of pedestrian crossings.
- Confirmation on traffic flow of the proposal, the traffic analysis, and the collision data.
- Members felt that the site visit undertaken was useful and very informative.
- Concerns were raised regarding the roads surrounding the site and referred to collision explorer.
- Members referred to slide 21 of the officer's presentation and requested further confirmation regarding heathland fires, evacuation plans and the ecological management plan of the site.
- Unsatisfactory junctions and road width.
- Clarification provided in relation to the history of flooding on the proposed site.
- Clarification of the maintenance of the ditches on site and the history of flooding in relation to slide 37 of the officer's presentation.
- Members were not convinced that the church was the best use of land and did not feel as though the speakers from the previous committee highlighted need for the church use.
- Concerns regarding the type of care to be provided.
- Clarification sought regarding noise impact.
- Clarification sought regarding heathland mitigation.
- A motion to split the decision and approve the care home in line with the officer's recommendation and refuse the officer's recommendation to grant the Church, was proposed by Cllr Shane Bartlett, and seconded by Cllr Robin Cook, subject to conditions set out in the officer's report and updated conditions set out by the planning officer. The proposal fell at the vote and was therefore not carried.
- A motion to approve the officer's recommendation to grant planning permission as recommended, was proposed by Cllr Toni Coombs, and seconded by Cllr Shane Bartlett. The proposal fell at the vote and was therefore not carried.

Proposed by Cllr Shane Bartlett, seconded by Cllr Robin Cook.

Decision: That in accordance with procedural rule 19.5 a recorded vote was taken.

Having had the opportunity to discuss the merits of the application and an understanding of all this entailed; having considered the officer's report and presentation; the representatives; and what they had heard at the meeting, a motion to overturn and **REFUSE** the officer's recommendation to **GRANT** planning permission as recommended, was proposed by Cllr David Tooke, and seconded by Cllr Mike Dyer.

Those in favour of the proposal: Cllrs David Tooke, Mike Dyer, and David Morgan.

Those against the proposal: Cllrs Shane Bartlett and Robin Cook

Those who abstained: Cllr Barry Goringe, Cllr Alex Brenton

Decision: To overturn and **REFUSE** the officer's recommendation for **APPROVAL** for the following reasons:

- **Highways** - The increased use of the existing junction of The Avenue with Station Road by traffic movements associated with the proposed development would, by virtue of the limited visibility to the north for vehicles using the junction, would have been likely to prejudice the free flow of traffic and conditions of general safety and was considered to have an unacceptable impact on highway safety, contrary to paragraph 115 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2023.
- **Traffic Noise** - The proposed development would have detracted from the living conditions of those nearby with specific reference to noise and disturbance, particularly in relation to Sunday early morning services at the proposed church. As such there would have been conflict with Policy HE2 of the East Dorset Core Strategy and paragraph 191 (a) of the NPPF 2023 in so far that it seeks to prevent development that would have an undesirable impact through noise and disturbance.
- **Heathlands** - Whilst mitigation is proposed on site, based on the information that was provided, it could not have been safely concluded that the scheme with the proposed mitigation measures secured would have avoided an adverse effect on the adjoining internationally designated sites. As such, the proposal was contrary to policy ME1 of the East Dorset Core Strategy and paragraphs 186 to 188 of the NPPF 2023.
- **Efficient use of land** - In the absence of evidence of need for the church, the proposed development did not make efficient use of land, contrary to paragraph 128 of the NPPF 2023.

81. **P/FUL/2023/06130 - 1 Christchurch Road Longham Ferndown BH22 8TD**

The applicant had withdrawn their application for development at 1 Christchurch Road Longham Ferndown BH22 8TD application reference P/FUL/2023/06130 so there was no application for the Committee to consider.

82. **P/VOC/2023/07382 - The Barn, Gods Blessing Lane, Holt, BH21 7DE**

With the aid of a visual presentation including plans and aerial photographs, the Case Officer identified the site and explained the proposal and relevant planning constraints and policies to members. Photographs of the proposed elevations, an indicative 3D design, and images from September 2019, March 2021 and September 2023 were shown. Members were informed of an updated site plan which identified the proposed residential curtilage.. The Case Officer briefly outlined the history of the barn which benefitted from prior approval for residential use. The differences between the previous proposal and that before Members was explained including the proposed materials. The recommendation was to grant subject to conditions set out in the officer's report.

Public Participation

The applicant addressed the committee and explained his intentions for the barn. Mr Freemantle highlighted the amount of time and work undertaken with their architect to ensure that the correct layout to meet his family needs and suit the location. The applicant asked the committee to note that the bedroom windows had decreased in size and would have been screened by a mature hedge. Mr Freemantle recognised that local residents would notice the barn but reported local support. He expressed his hope that the committee would support the officer's recommendation to approve.

Members questions and comments

- The Local Ward member explained that he was familiar with the structure and was pleased by the proposed conversion. It was noted that the dwelling is visually dominant in the area in which it was situated, however, he considered it was a good proposal and supported it.
- Clarification regarding the curtilage.
- Members felt as though the proposal was an improvement.

Having had the opportunity to discuss the merits of the application and an understanding of all this entailed; having considered the officer's report and presentation; the written representatives; and what they had heard at the meeting, a motion to **APPROVE** the officer's recommendation to **GRANT** planning permission as recommended, was proposed by Cllr Robin Cook, and seconded by Cllr Mike Dyer.

Decision: To GRANT the officer's recommendation for APPROVAL.

83. Urgent items

There were no urgent items.

84. Exempt Business

There was no exempt business.

Decision Sheet

Duration of meeting: 10.15 am - 12.38 pm

Chairman

.....

Agenda Item 6

Eastern Area Planning Committee
19 June 2024

Application Number:	P/FUL/2022/07556		
Webpage:	Planning application: P/FUL/2022/07556 - dorsetforyou.com (dorsetcouncil.gov.uk)		
Site address:	The Old Sawmills Clayford Wimborne BH21 7BJ		
Proposal:	Retain change of use of buildings to B2 General Industrial use		
Applicant name:	Mr J Baker		
Case Officer:	James Brightman		
Ward Member(s):	Cllr Cook at the time of consultation. Now Cllr Will Chakawhata.		
Publicity expiry date:	10 March 2023	Officer site visit date:	17 January 2023
Decision due date:	21 June 2024	Ext(s) of time:	21 June 2024
No of Site Notices:	1		
SN displayed reasoning:	To inform third parties of the application		

- 1.0 The application has been referred to committee by the nominated officer in accordance with the Council's Scheme of Delegation Process.
- 1.1 The application should be read in conjunction with the officer report for application reference: P/FUL/2022/07557 which is also before the committee for consideration
- 2.0 Summary of recommendation:**
GRANT subject to conditions
- 3.0 Reason for the recommendation:** as set out in Section 16 of this report
- The principle of industrial use of the site is already established by permission for an unfettered sawmill use so, subject to conditions, the proposal would not conflict with Local Plan policy PC4 nor cause significant harm to rural character contrary to policy HE3.
 - Subject to conditions, the proposal would not result in any significant harm to the amenity of the occupants of adjacent dwellings so accords with Local Plan policies HE2 and DES2.
 - The proposal would not have a significant impact on the nearby Holt & West Moors Heaths Site of Special Scientific interest (SSSI), Special Area of

Conservation (SAC) and Special Protection Area (SPA) nor is other biodiversity harm anticipated when compared to the lawful use so the proposal accords with policies ME1 and ME2.

- No additional harm to the openness of the Green Belt has been identified so the reuse of the existing buildings is appropriate, in accordance with NPPF Green Belt policy.
- The level of traffic associated with the proposal would not result in harm to highway safety that would justify refusal.
- There are no material considerations which would warrant refusal of this application.

4.0 Key planning issues

Issue	Conclusion
Principle of development	Acceptable, given the lawful use of the site as a sawmill and compliance with Christchurch and Esat Dorset Local plan – part 1 Core Strategy (CED) Policy PC4: The Rural Economy.
Scale, design, impact on character and appearance	Acceptable. Use of existing buildings to be continued and there would be no material change in visual impact for the appearance of these. Evidence of associated traffic movements suggests the impact on rural character is limited compared to lawful sawmill use.
Impact on the Green Belt	Acceptable. Proposal represents appropriate development in the Green Belt
Impact on the living conditions of the occupants of neighbouring properties	Acceptable. The submitted noise impact report advises mitigation that will be required by suggested Conditions 4 to 7 at the end of this report.
Impact on landscape or heritage assets	Acceptable. No material changes to the buildings are proposed and so their visual impact will not alter. No heritage assets affected.
Flood risk and drainage	Acceptable. Use of land will not alter as the lawful sawmill use and the existing general industrial use would be unchanged with no impact on flood risk accordingly.
Impact from pollution	Acceptable. Other legislation in place to control pollution; Control of Pollution Act 1974.
Economic benefits	Yes. Retention of the 4 small businesses operating from the site brings economic benefits from employment.

Highway impacts, safety, access and parking	Acceptable. The proposal will not adversely affect road safety and there is acceptable parking provision on the site.
Impact on Dorset Heathlands	Acceptable. Habitats Regulations Appropriate Assessment (AA) has concluded no harm to the Dorset Heathlands
Impact on trees	Acceptable. No trees are to be affected.
Impact on Biodiversity	Acceptable. The site has low biodiversity value and there is no requirement under the Dorset Biodiversity Appraisal Protocol to undertake an ecological appraisal or prepare a Biodiversity Plan (BP).
Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROW)	Acceptable. No impediment to Public Rights Of Way (PROW) from the proposal. Vehicle movements on access to site that is also a PROW would not have a significant impact on users of the PROW given the lawful use of the site as a sawmill and its associated vehicle movements.
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Screening Opinion concludes there is no requirement for EIA.
Habitats Regulations Appropriate Assessment (HRA) in respect of impact on the Holt & West Moors Heaths SPA and SAC from use of the access road to the site	A HRA has concluded no adverse impact on the adjacent Holt & West Moors Heaths Special Protection Area (SPA) and Special rea of Conservation (SAC).

5.0 Description of Site

- 5.1 The site has an area of 0.44 hectares, is relatively level and in general industrial use. It lies to the north of the vehicular access which is also a bridleway and to the east of the dwelling at 4 Clayford Cottages.
- 5.2 There are 2 buildings on the site labelled as Building 1 and 2 on the submitted site plan.




Tall fencing forms part of the site's boundaries and this and existing buildings on and adjacent to the site partially screen the site from views into it from the south.

- 5.3 There is a lawful commercial use at Clayford Farm to the southeast of the site where there are nine B2: General Industrial & eight B8: Storage & distribution units. This was given planning approval under planning application 3/19/1435/COU.
- 5.4 To the west of the site there are other dwellings at 1, 2, 2a & 3 Clayford Cottages, The Bothey, Trotters Plot and Little Cimarron. The dwellings at Dunromin and Clayford House lie to the south. Candlewick Cottage is to the southeast behind Clayford Farm.
- 5.5 The character of the area in the immediate vicinity of the application site is semi-rural with a mix of commercial and residential uses in relatively close proximity to one another.
- 5.6 Access to the site is via an unsurfaced track that is also a public bridleway, and this provides access from the west and joins a further unsurfaced track that eventually joins the metalled section of Uddens Drive to the southwest which then joins Pilford Lane and continues on to the A31.
- 5.7 The site has a lawful B2 use as a sawmill with timber fencing production. The permission for this use is restricted to a sawmill and timber fence making and therefore planning permission is required for other B2 uses.


6.0 Description of Development

- 6.1 It is proposed to retain the B2 General Industrial use that is currently being carried out in the 2 buildings identified on the submitted site plan as Buildings 1 & 2:



Extract from the submitted block plan submitted as part of the application, to show the location of buildings 1 and 2

- 6.2 10 on-site parking spaces are proposed in the areas below.



Extract from parking plan submitted as part of the application, to show the location of on site parking

6.3 The agent advises that the businesses currently operating from the site comprise:

- Vehicle repair
- Repair & servicing of agricultural and horticultural machinery
- Agricultural fabrication
- Production of logs for domestic and biomass fuel

6.4 There are 6 full-time workers and 3 part-time workers currently employed at the site.

7.0 Relevant Planning History

Application reference: 03/79/1234/HST

Use building for making of fencing

Decision: GRANTED Decision Date: 25/07/1979

Officer note: *This building has been replaced by Building 1 by implementing planning permission 03/88/1427/FUL below.*

Application reference: 03/88/1427/FUL

Demolish existing buildings and replace with new

Decision: GRANTED Decision Date: 26/01/1989

Application reference: 3/20/1191/CLE

Four units A1 to A4 (as shown on submitted Site plan) actively being used for over 5 years, on the understanding that development use was granted /is granted for following:

- Van repair workshops for existing business at the Sawmill.
- Agricultural machinery, wood cutting equipment repair work.

- Ten steel container units, along with Static Office.

Decision: GRANTED (split decision) Decision Date: 21/10/2021

Officer Note: *This application has established the lawfulness of Buildings 1 & 2 in terms of the structures being lawful operational development, but there was insufficient evidence of continuous B2 use for more than 10 years so their current use was not accepted as lawful, hence the submission of the current application.*

Application reference: P/FUL/2022/07557

Retain change of use of yard to B2 general Industrial use to be used in conjunction with the buildings.

Decision: Application under consideration by the Planning Committee

Application reference: P/FUL/2023/00500

Retain log drying shed.

Decision: Refused Decision Date: 17/03/2023

Subsequent Appeal: DISMISSED

Application reference: P/FUL/2023/07271

Erect building for the drying of logs

Decision: Refused – Decision Date 19/02/2024

Associated planning application on neighbouring site- Clayford Farm:

Application reference 3/19/1435

Change of use of buildings to commercial uses under B2 General Industrial and B8 Storage & Distribution - retrospective application (amended description). (17 businesses)

Decision: Approved – Decision Date 11/06/2020

8.0 List of Constraints

- Greenbelt: Bournemouth Greenbelt
- 400m Dorset Heathland buffer, Description: Holt & West Moors Heaths
- Public Right of Way: Footpath E45/16 (Distance: 5.77m) and Bridleway E45/15; - (Distance: 1.37m)

- SGN – High pressure gas pipeline (Distance: 97.55m)
- Environment Agency – JBA – risk of groundwater emergence; groundwater levels are either at or very near (within 0.025m of) the ground surface.; Within this zone there is a risk of groundwater flooding to both surface and subsurface assets. Groundwater may emerge at significant rates and has the capacity to flow overland and/or pond within any topographic low spots.
- Designated ancient woodland: park/garden copses; Ancient replanted woodland (Distance: 478.84m) and ancient & semi-natural woodland – (Distance: 479.27m)
- Higher Potential ecological network
- Natural England Designation – RAMSAR: Dorset Heathlands (UK11021); (Distance: 1925.84m)
- Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) impact risk zone
- Area of Great Landscape Value (AGLV) immediately to the N of the site

9.0 Consultations

All consultee responses can be viewed in full on the website.

Consultees

1. Natural England

After considering the Council's draft Habitat Regulations Appropriate Assessment (AA) dated 17/4/24, Natural England has raised No Objection to the Council granting the planning permission in respect of the matters considered in the AA.

NE advises the Council should be mindful of the need to secure any mitigation/avoidance measures which have been identified as being required to avoid harm to the habitats sites and suitable legally binding agreements may be required which will need to take into account mitigation which must be in place prior to commencement/occupation or where ongoing impacts are long term in perpetuity.

2. Dorset Wildlife Trust

- Insufficient ecological information currently provided on which to assess the impacts of the development upon locally designated sites and biodiversity, which should be given due regard as per the *Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006*, National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021 and Circular 06/2005. DWT can provide further comment once additional information has been submitted.
- Impacts on the adjacent Deciduous Woodland Priority Habitat and the nearby designated heathland sites. May also have impacts on the SNCI habitats & Natural England should be consulted in terms of this impact.

- Impact from dust and other airborne pollutants associated with transport and access to the application site on Holt and West Moors Heaths SSSI which comprises Lowland Heathland Priority Habitat.
- Heavy use of unmetalled tracks can result in large quantities of dust being generated during dry periods and runoff of surface matter and pollutants following heavy rain. Impacts on sensitive habitats can also arise through deposition of nitrogen oxides (Nox) from vehicle exhausts.
- Encroachment onto adjoining Forestry England Land by heavy vehicles and widening of the track impacting adjacent land. Habitats immediately adjacent to the access track may provide supporting habitat for the designated sites and it is likely that species associated with these habitats are also using the trackside areas. Heavy vehicle use of the track and unauthorised encroachment onto adjacent land may cause direct damage to these habitats and risk killing and injury of protected species.
- If upgrading of the access track is required to allow the continued use of this site, this must be included in the application.
- The change of use of the site from sawmill and production of timber products to vehicle and machinery storage and repair also has the potential to impact on adjacent sites and habitats.
- The storage of timber products has a significantly different environmental impact compared with the potential impacts arising from vehicle and machinery servicing and repair and no evidence has been provided to demonstrate that the site has the appropriate infrastructure for safe storage, drainage and waste management associates with these activities.
- Without appropriate measures in place and a suitable buffer to safeguard adjacent habitats, significant ecological impacts may result from spills, runoff and groundwater contamination from chemicals, fuels or other materials used or stored on the site

3. Dorset Council Highways

- No objection. The comments of the Rights of Way Officer should be noted.

4. Dorset Council Environmental Services – Protection

- No adverse comments to make in relation to the noise assessment submitted which concludes there will be a low noise impact upon nearby receptors. Conditions advised to require sound insulation measures detailed in the noise assessment at point 6.4; to restrict work activities and deliveries to Mon-Sat 08:00 – 18:00. No activity or deliveries on Sundays or Bank Holidays and to require workshop roller shutter doors to be kept closed whilst using power tools and machinery.

5. Dorset Council Rights of Way Officer

- Since the grant of a previous change of use application to B2 general industrial use in this locale there has been a sharp rise in complaints from users to the Rights of Way Team. These are mainly due to the greatly increased amount of traffic using the bridleways to serve the industrial units.

- The increased volume, size and speed of the traffic not only negatively impacts on the safety of users but also on the perception of reduced safety of the users and as such we would not support this application.

6. Dorset Council Natural Environment Team (NET)

- The limited habitat on site puts it outside the scope of Dorset Biodiversity Appraisal Protocol
- The Biodiversity Checklist does not require any surveys or a Biodiversity Plan.
- The Protocol doesn't cover the indirect impacts mentioned by Dorset Wildlife Trust and the Forestry Commission

7. Holt Parish Council

- The application documentation shows a low level of use of the site in the 2002 photograph, 2022 shows many HGVs on site.
- Concerned about the environmental impact on the protected Holt Heath, the likely detrimental impact on a SSSI and also on the amenities of the neighbours from the high number of HGVs operating at unsocial hours/speed etc.
- The bridleway is over private land and not in the ownership of the applicant, we query if notice has been served and if the application site boundary is all within the ownership of the applicant.
- Question the legality of vehicular access to this site over the bridleway.

8. Former Ward Member – Stour And Allen Vale Ward (Cllr Robin Cook)

- Concern raised regarding issues around access to the site.
- There have been ongoing disagreements between local residents and an existing operation (with planning consent) at Clayford Farm which adjoins the site of the above application, as well as the currently unauthorised use of the Sawmills site. These are mainly related to the volume and type of vehicle now using the access track and damage to an existing bridleway which shares the track and is under the control of DC, together with damage to Forestry England land.
- Given the general nature of class B2 development and the potential for subsequent change to B8 there would be no control of traffic volume, size of vehicles or the nature of the materials transported and stored on site. All of this is likely to compound the damage being caused now.
- The access rights over the track are in dispute as it appears to be in the hands of various owners and these need to be properly clarified before any further consideration of this application, and a Stop Notice be put on the Sawmills unauthorised operation by Enforcement until such time that matter of access rights is resolved.
- Maintenance of the track seems to be done on a very ad hoc basis and, whilst not the responsibility of DC Highways, some formal arrangement/agreement needs to be put in place before any continuation of operations at this site.
- There is nothing in the planning statement regarding mitigation of the negative ecological impact that may result from spills, runoff and groundwater

contamination from chemicals, fuels or other materials used or stored on the site.

Representations received

Total – Objections	Total – No Objections	Total – Comments
21	3	2

Summary of other comments of objections:

- not sustainable development
- danger to horses, cyclists and pedestrians from traffic using the bridleway- increase in the size, speed and volume of traffic associated with industrial use. British Horse Society reports complaints from horse riders relating to traffic.
- site use has markedly increased traffic compared to lawful sawmill site- vehicle movements in excess of 100 per day
- unmade access track width and construction considered by East Dorset Environmental Partnership to be unsuitable for regular, heavy traffic generated by the uses- B2 general industrial (and potential B8 storage or distribution) uses have potential to compound the damage to the bridleway.
- incidents of trespass by heavy vehicles reported by the Forestry England who own the access track for 250m either side of The Old Sawmill- encroachment by track widening during maintenance work not agreed with other users.
- impact on wildlife- encroachment into SSSI next to access, loss of trees
- pollution from dust, noise, air and vibration
- out of character with rural area adjacent to dwellings- industrial estate site would be more suitable
- building 2 not the same size as the building benefiting from a certificate of lawful application 20/1191/CLE and is therefore not lawful
- use increase the number of incidents for Dorset Council Rights of Way Team
- site operates seven days per week between 6:00 AM until 10:00 PM or later
- Site used for roofing and scaffolding companies x 2 to store equipment, storage of boats, caravans, jet skis, bangers, farm vehicles and wood (with retail of wood)
- employment on site is not local and employees drive in from urban areas
- bridleway access is private

Summary of comments of support/comment:

- no adverse effects from noise, traffic and disturbance
- small businesses need to be supported
- sawmill site provides employment
- no increase in traffic or activity in recent years

- use doesn't generate many large vehicles

10.0 Duties

s38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that the determination of planning applications must be in accordance with the development plan unless material circumstances indicate otherwise.

11.0 Relevant Policies

Development Plan

Adopted Christchurch and East Dorset Local Plan:

KS1 – Presumption in favour of sustainable development

KS2- Settlement hierarchy

KS3 – Green Belt

KS11 – Transport and Development

KS12- Parking Provision

HE2 – Design of new development

HE3 – Landscape Quality

ME1- Safeguarding biodiversity and geodiversity

ME2- Dorset Heathlands

ME6- Flood Management, Mitigation and Defence

PC4 – The rural economy

East Dorset Local Plan 2002 (saved policies)

DES2- Developments will not be permitted which will either impose or suffer unacceptable impacts on or from existing or likely future development or land uses in terms of noise, smell, safety, health, lighting, disturbance, traffic or other pollution.

Material Considerations

Emerging Local Plans:

Paragraph 48 of the NPPF provides that local planning authorities may give weight to relevant policies in emerging plans according to:

- the stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced its preparation, the greater the weight that may be given);
- the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant plan policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and

- the degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the NPPF (the closer the policies in the emerging plan are to the policies of the NPPF, the greater the weight that may be given).

The Dorset Council Local Plan Options Consultation took place between January and March 2021. Being at a very early stage of preparation, the relevant policies in the Draft Dorset Council Local Plan should be accorded very limited weight in decision making. However, the production of the Draft Local Plan has significant implications for the assessment of housing land supply.

Emerging Neighbourhood Plans

There are no neighbourhood plans for this area.

National Planning Policy Framework

Paragraph 11 sets out the presumption in favour of sustainable development. Development plan proposals that accord with the development plan should be approved without delay. Where the development plan is absent, silent, or relevant policies are out-of-date then permission should be granted unless any adverse impacts of approval would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits when assessed against the NPPF or specific policies in the NPPF indicate development should be restricted.

Other relevant NPPF sections include:

- Section 4 'Decision making': Para 38 – Local planning authorities should approach decisions on proposed development in a positive and creative way. They should use the full range of planning tools available...and work proactively with applicants to secure developments that will improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. Decision-makers at every level should seek to approve applications for sustainable development where possible.
- Section 6 'Building a strong, competitive economy', paragraphs 88 and 89 'Supporting a prosperous rural economy' promotes the sustainable growth and expansion of all types of business and enterprise in rural areas, through conversion of existing buildings, the erection of well-designed beautiful new buildings, and supports sustainable tourism and leisure developments where identified needs are not met by existing rural service centres.
- Section 11 'Making effective use of land'
- Section 12 'Achieving well designed and beautiful places' indicates that all development to be of a high quality in design, and the relationship and visual impact of it to be compatible with the surroundings. In particular, and amongst other things, Paragraphs 131 – 141 advise that:

The creation of high quality, beautiful and sustainable buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development.

Development that is not well designed should be refused, especially where it fails to reflect local design policies and government guidance on design.

- Section 13 'Protecting Green Belt land'
- Section 14 'Meeting the challenges of climate change, flooding and coastal change'
- Section 15 'Conserving and Enhancing the Natural Environment' - Paragraphs 185-188 set out how biodiversity is to be protected and encourage net gains for biodiversity.

Other material considerations

Dorset Heathlands Planning Framework 2020-2025 Supplementary Planning Document

Dorset Heathlands Interim Air Quality Strategy 2020 to 2025 Adopted 02/03/2021

Dorset Council Interim Guidance and Position Statement Appendix B: Adopted Local Plan policies and objectives relating to climate change, renewable energy, and sustainable design and construction. December 2023.

12.0 Human rights

Article 6 – Right to a fair trial.

Article 8 – Right to respect for private and family life and home.

The first protocol of Article 1 Protection of property.

This recommendation is based on adopted Development Plan policies, the application of which does not prejudice the Human Rights of the applicant or any third party.

13.0 Public Sector Equalities Duty

As set out in the Equalities Act 2010, all public bodies, in discharging their functions must have “due regard” to this duty. There are 3 main aims:-

- Removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics
- Taking steps to meet the needs of people with certain protected characteristics where these are different from the needs of other people
- Encouraging people with certain protected characteristics to participate in public life or in other activities where participation is disproportionately low.

Whilst there is no absolute requirement to fully remove any disadvantage the Duty is to have “regard to” and remove or minimise disadvantage and in considering the

merits of this planning application the planning authority has taken into consideration the requirements of the Public Sector Equalities Duty.

It is considered that the proposal would not result in any disadvantage to persons with protected characteristics.

14.0 Financial benefits

Financial Benefit	Amount / value
Material Considerations	
6 jobs likely to be retained	N/A
Non-Material Considerations	
Continuation of payment of Business Rates	Unknown

15.0 Environmental Implications

15.1 There are no new buildings proposed. The lawful use of the site as a sawmill has environmental implications from the operation of machinery and traffic generation and the existing use of the buildings has similar impacts. The screening process associated with Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations 2017 and Conservation of Species and Habitats Regulations 2017 have concluded the proposal would not have a significant impact on the environment.

16.0 Planning Assessment

Principle of development

16.1 The site is located outside of settlements identified in Policy KS2 of the Core Strategy which focusses development on the settlements set out in the settlement hierarchy in this policy and therefore the proposal does not accord with this policy. However, the Core Strategy accepts that not all uses can be accommodated within settlements in the settlement hierarchy and Policy PC4: The Rural Economy relates to business uses in the countryside and is relevant to the proposal.

16.2 The proposal is for small-scale economic development in a rural area outside any settlement and Policy PC4 has the following requirements:

Proposals for the conversion and re-use of appropriately located and suitably constructed existing buildings in the countryside for economic development, including tourist related uses, must ensure:

- The proposal supports the vitality and viability of rural service centres and villages with existing facilities.
- Proposals must not adversely impact the supply of employment sites and premises and the economic, social and environmental sustainability of the area, when considering proposals which involve the loss of economic activity.
- Proposals do not have a materially greater impact on the openness of the Green Belt and the purpose of including land within it.
- The benefits outweigh the harm in terms of:
 1. The potential impact on countryside, landscapes and wildlife.
 2. Development is compatible with the pursuit of the Cranborne Chase and West Wiltshire Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) purposes, which are set out in the AONB Management Plan.
 3. Local economic and social needs and opportunities not met elsewhere.
 4. Settlement patterns and the level of accessibility to service centres, markets and housing.
 5. The building is suitable for the proposed use without major re-building and would not require any significant alteration which would damage its fabric and character, or detract from the local characteristics and landscape quality of the area. Any necessary car parking provision should also not have an adverse impact on the setting of the building in the open countryside.
 6. The preservation of buildings of historic or architectural importance/interest, or which otherwise contributes to local character.

- 16.3 The buildings are lawful and suitable for the proposed uses without major rebuilding and would not require any significant alteration which would damage their fabric and character.
- 16.4 The proposed 10 parking spaces on the site will have an impact on Green Belt openness from parked vehicles when these spaces are occupied as would any outside storage associated with the B2 use proposed. There would be some conflict with policy PC4 accordingly.
- 16.5 However, the lawful sawmill use of the site would also have generated outside parking and storage of timber and machinery, and it is unlikely that the proposal would have a materially greater impact on openness compared to this fall-back position. The full impacts on the Green Belt are considered further below.
- 16.6 The site is not accessible from settlements by public transport and so employees of the B2 general industrial uses would likely come by private vehicle given the distance from the nearest settlements and this weighs against the proposal. Again, it is difficult to distinguish these impacts from those arising in relation to the lawful sawmill use.
- 16.7 For the above reasoning, on balance, it is considered the proposal accords with Policy PC4 in the light of the lawful sawmill use which provides a fall-back, so the principle of the economic use of the buildings is acceptable.

NPPF policy

- 16.8 Paragraph 85 of the NPPF encourages the use of previously developed land and states that significant weight is to be placed on the need to support economic growth & productivity
- 16.9 NPPF Paragraph 88 a) supports the enabling of sustainable growth of all rural businesses. NPPF Paragraph 89 states that decisions should recognise the need to provide sites in rural areas beyond existing settlements and in areas not well-served by public transport provided development is sensitive to its surroundings and does not have an unacceptable impact on rural roads- this is a matter considered later in this report.

Impact on the Green Belt (GB)

- 16.10 Paragraph 155 d) of the NPPF considers the re-use of buildings to be appropriate development in the Green Belt provided the buildings are of permanent and substantial construction, and their use would preserve GB openness and not conflict with the purposes of including land within the GB.
- 16.11 The buildings are considered to be of permanent and substantial construction.
- 16.12 The use of the buildings will be associated with the parking of vehicles as was the case for the lawful sawmill use. The proposal identifies 10 outside parking spaces in association with the proposed use. It is anticipated that the parking spaces would be in use for the majority of the operating hours and would therefore have a spatial impact on openness, but positioned to the north and east of the buildings the visual impact of the vehicular parking on openness would be limited and compared to the fall-back provided by the lawful Sawmill use, overall it is considered that the openness of the Green Belt in this area would be preserved by the proposal.

Impact on the amenity of occupants of neighbouring properties

- 16.13 The site lies close to a residential property at 4 Clayford Cottages to the west and there are properties beyond this. Concerns have been raised by local residents about noise and disturbance. The use of the buildings for B2 purposes is anticipated to generate some noise from operations undertaken within them. To assess this a Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) dated 28-29/1/23 was submitted.

Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) findings

- 16.14 The dates of the NIA fell on a Saturday and Sunday and the agent advises that this was while the usual businesses were in operation, during standard working hours. These businesses include vehicle mechanic, agricultural repairs, fabrication business and the log processing and drying businesses, along with all vehicle movements associated with those businesses.
- 16.15 The NIA assessed the operation of the proposed plant to establish if the development proposed would have a demonstrable adverse effect in terms of noise that outweigh the benefits of the development.

- 16.16 Measurements were undertaken in accordance with British Standard 4142:2019 and ISO 1996 – Part 2: 2017 and the NIA established the existing background noise levels at the closest residential façade to the proposed plant locations and the assessment of the impact of the site operation on nearby residential properties.
- 16.17 The NIA concluded that the resulting emissions from the plant running on a worst-case scenario show no conflict with ‘low impact’ criteria and give a strong indication that a harmful impact on the local amenity is unlikely during operational hours.
- 16.18 The Council’s Environmental Health Officer has considered the NIA and has no adverse comments to make in relation to the noise assessment submitted which concludes there will be a low noise impact upon nearby receptors. Conditions are advised to require:
- The sound insulation measures detailed in the noise assessment at point 6.4 must be implemented and maintained thereafter
 - Restrict work activities and deliveries to Mon-Sat 08:00 – 18:00 No activity or deliveries on Sundays or Bank Holidays
 - Workshop roller shutter doors to be kept closed whilst using power tools and machinery
- 16.19 Under planning permission 3/79/1234 the lawful sawmill operation is not subject to any controls, however it is judged necessary and reasonable to impose the above conditions (nos. 5, 6 and 7), including limiting the use of power tools to inside building 1 only, to ensure that the proposed development accords with saved policy DES2 of the Local Plan which requires that development should not impose unacceptable impacts on existing or likely future development including issues of noise and disturbance.
- 16.20 Subject to these conditions the proposal could result in a betterment for the amenity of the occupants of the nearby dwellings compared to the lawful sawmill use.
- 16.21 On this basis, it is considered the proposal is acceptable in respect of its impact on the amenity of adjacent residents and the application complies with Saved Policy DES2 of the East Dorset Local Plan and Policy HE2 of the Core Strategy.

Highway impacts

- 16.22 The site is accessed via an unadopted road that is an unsurfaced gravel track that also serves the other businesses and properties in Uddens Drive. Dorset Council’s Highways Officer has raised no objection on the grounds of highway safety.
- 16.23 The site’s lawful use as a sawmill generated traffic movements and there are no planning conditions to limit the intensity of use of a sawmill operating from the site. The information provided and comments from third parties advise that the access track to the site is not in the applicant’s ownership, but this does not prevent a

planning assessment as it is the applicant's responsibility to obtain the necessary permissions from the access track owner(s) to be able to use it, such agreements are not a material planning consideration.

- 16.24 The applicant has submitted the results of a traffic survey undertaken over a 4 week period on the track outside the site entrance. This revealed a weekly average of 323 vehicles (646 movements) using the track in addition to 153 horse riders, bicycle and walker movements, of which 82 of the vehicles were visiting the application site and this number included an average of 1 heavy goods vehicle a week. Based on this information, the sawmill site accounted for 25% of vehicular traffic using that part of the track.
- 16.25 This data would have included traffic movements to the unauthorised scaffolding businesses operating in 2023 which have now ceased operations and mobile home (now removed from site), so it is anticipated that the number of vehicle movements would now be lower. As reported, approximately 82 movements a week equates to approximately 12 movements per day as it is understood that the businesses operate 7 days a week. When considering the proposal against traffic that could be generated by the lawful use of the site as a sawmill, the evidenced traffic movements are not judged to represent a significant increase that would justify refusal based on harm to the rural character of the locality.
- 16.26 Consideration has been given to whether further increases in traffic levels could arise if permission was granted. Planning Practice Guidance is clear that it would not be reasonable to impose a condition which names the individual businesses that can operate from the site, but it is considered to be necessary and reasonable to impose conditions that limit the number of businesses on the site to 4 (condition 8) and restrict permitted development rights to avoid a B8 distribution use which could be associated with more intensive vehicle movements (condition 2). 16.27
The Dorset Council non-residential Parking Guidance anticipates that 14 spaces might be needed for the 420 sq. metres of industrial floor space within the buildings and space for 2 HGVs. The number of proposed small vehicle spaces (10) falls short of this but the B2 use is in operation and the proposed parking spaces are sufficient to accommodate the number of employees with additional spaces for visitors. There is sufficient space for larger vehicles to turn on the site and the Council's Highways Officer has not objected on this basis. A condition (number 9) will direct vehicles to the proposed parking areas.
- 16.28 For the reasons set out above, it is not anticipated that the proposal would have an adverse impact on highway safety and adequate on-site parking is proposed to serve the businesses operating from the site.

Impact on the Public Right of Way (PROW)

- 16.29 The application site is accessed from the west via an unmade track which also is a bridleway. The bridleway skirts round the east side of the land owned by the applicant where the buildings are located and continues to the northeast.
- 16.30 The proposed use of the site for B2 uses introduces 4 businesses to the site when 1 previously existed and this has potential to bring more vehicles to the site than the sawmill use; the businesses currently in operation according to the agent are Vehicle repair; Repair & servicing of agricultural and horticultural machinery; Agricultural fabrication and Production of logs for fuel. The Council's Rights of Way Officer has objected to the proposal on the grounds that the increased

volume, size and speed of the traffic not only negatively impacts on the safety of users but also on the perception of reduced safety of the users. The British Horse Society has highlighted complaints they have received relating to increased vehicle use of the bridleway.

- 16.31 General industrial uses attract vehicle movements from both small and large vehicles with these vehicles contributing to the wear and tear on the bridleway access which is the sole vehicular access to the site, and such movements impact the rural character of the area and rural roads.
- 16.32 However, the sawmill use has no restrictions on traffic movements, and it is not considered the proposal may be reasonably resisted on the basis of additional traffic generation and its impact on surrounding rural roads. The applicant's agent has advised that when in operation the sawmill had several HGV deliveries of raw timber every week, with numerous vans and lorries taking out the finished products. The yard was also open for the sale of timber fence panels direct to the public. It is likely that a sawmill use would attract significant vehicle movements and the related planning permission 3/88/1427 has no restriction on traffic movements.
- 16.33 The lawful use of Building 1 is considered to be for fence making. There is a high probability that use of the site for the lawful fence making operation would generate numerous vehicle movements and the related planning permission 88/1427 has no restriction on traffic movements. Use of the building 1 could revert to this lawful use without the need for planning permission.
- 16.34 The proposal does not block or impede the PROW for other users, but the use of the site and the vehicle movements generated by it have an impact on the users of the PROW.
- 16.35 The applicant has undertaken a traffic assessment of vehicles using the access track and PROW over a period of 4 weeks and advises that on a weekly average these show 323 vehicles (646 movements) using the track plus 153 horse riders, bicycle and walker movements and of the weekly average of 323 motor vehicles, 82 were taking access to the sawmills site and this number included an average of 1 heavy goods vehicle a week.
- 16.36 Consequently, the sawmills account for 25% of vehicular traffic using that part of the track. These figures do not include traffic movements to and from the residential properties, heathland and woodland that are located to the west of the site, due to the cameras not covering that part of the track. The 82 vehicles visiting the old sawmills would have included visits to the unauthorised scaffolding businesses operating in 2023 which have ceased operations and mobile home (now removed from site), so it is anticipated that the number of vehicle movements could now be lower.
- 16.38 Concerns have been raised in the representations received about the physical impact on the access track from vehicles visiting the site and on other users of this PROW. The track is used by multiple dwellings and businesses. Whilst impacts on the rural character of the track from additional traffic can be given some weight in the decision making, it is not considered that it would be reasonable to refuse planning permission for the current proposal for general industrial use of the two buildings in the light of the lawful use of the site as a sawmill and fence making which has no restriction on intensity of use or vehicle movements.

- 16.39 The physical impact on the access track by vehicles being driven onto the edges of the track cannot be controlled in any planning permission and it is the responsibility of drivers of vehicles using the track to stay within the width of the access. Any damage to the PROW is controlled under rights of way legislation and is a private matter should such damage occur to land in the control of other parties.
- 16.40 The lawful right to use the access track to the site is not a planning consideration and it is the applicant's responsibility to obtain the necessary permissions for the use of this access. It is considered that the proposed use class B2 general industrial use of the 2 buildings cannot be reasonably resisted on the basis it would result in a harmful impact on the PROW.

Impact from pollution

- 16.41 Representations have been received that raise concerns over pollution arising from the B2 use. In this respect, the agent advises that:
- The applicants have a contract with BIFFA waste contractors and waste is removed on a fortnightly basis. Metal waste is taken to the scrapyard operated by G Sait at Ferndown Industrial Estate. All transfers are recorded.
 - The businesses do not carry out any operations likely to cause air pollution apart from exhaust fumes from the running of vehicles. No paint spraying or similar operations are undertaken, as they do not have appropriate facilities.
 - Fuels are stored in bunded tanks in accordance with ISO 9000 and government regulations for the safe storage of fuels.
 - Oils are stored on catch trays, meeting government regulations (Storing oil at your business www.gov.uk).
 - Biodegradable hydraulic oil is used in all hydraulic systems on site (Terralus SB46) which if spilt is environmentally friendly. Spill kits are available in the yard and in every unit.
 - There is no runoff from vehicle washing or similar operations.
- 16.42 On the basis of the above, it is not considered there would be an adverse impact from pollution from the proposal; planning conditions should not duplicate other legislation which is in place to control pollution.

Impact on Dorset Heathlands (Holt & West Moors Heaths)

- 16.43 Holt & West Moors Heaths is a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), which also forms part of the Dorset Heaths Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and

Dorset Heathlands Special Protection Area (SPA), known as 'Habitat Sites'. The map extract below shows the SSSI shaded purple and the application site indicated with a red arrow:




Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

- 16.44 The site is wholly outside the SSSI which is a sensitive areas in respect of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations 2017 but these are in close proximity.
- 16.45 The use of the buildings for general industrial use has potential for air and ground pollution arising from traffic movements associated with the businesses operating from them and the processes undertaken within them. The former use of the site for processing timber also had impacts from air pollution from machinery and traffic movements.
- 16.46 As set out above, a traffic assessment has identified that the trip rate associated with the development is limited.
- 16.47 The proposal has been screened in terms of whether an EIA is required and the Screening Opinion has concluded that the Development is not likely to have significant effects on the environment and no EIA is needed.

Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA)

- 16.48 The Dorset Explorer extract below shows the proximity of the Holt & West Moors Heaths Site of Special Scientific interest (SSSI), Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and Special Protection Area (SPA) Heathland to the site and access track.



- 16.49 The access track comes within 200m of the heathland for around 1200m and in this zone the Heathlands are sensitive to nitrogen deposition from road traffic.
- 16.50 The Council's Environmental Assessment Officer advises that the predicted average annual daily traffic flow screening threshold for a Habitat Regulations Appropriate Assessment in Natural England's guidance note on the assessment of road traffic emissions is 1000 and the threshold for HGV movements is 200.
- 16.51 Evidence supporting the application identifies that the traffic generated by the site is currently in the region of 82 movements per week which is well below the screening threshold and the same screening threshold 'in-combination' with other plans and projects which may result in an increase in combustion vehicle traffic on this section of the access must be considered.
- 16.52 At present there are no projects evident in the vicinity that are planned or being considered for planning permission that would generate traffic on the access track and so in terms of the in-combination effects, there would be none to add to the effects from the proposal.
- 16.53 A Habitats Regulations Assessment agreed by Natural England has concluded the proposal would not result in a significant impact on the heathlands with mitigation which has already been secured under the Dorset Heathlands Interim Air Quality Strategy 2020 to 2025. For these reasons there is therefore no need to secure further mitigation via conditions or a planning obligation.

Flooding

- 16.54 The site is shown to be susceptible to high groundwater levels by the Council's Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment with a potential risk of flooding. Given the lawful use of the site for the B2 sawmill use, and no change in how surface water from the site would be disposed of, there is considered to be no increase in risk from groundwater flooding from the proposal.

Impact on Area of Great Landscape Value (AGLV)

- 16.55 The land immediately to the north of the application site is within an Area of Great Landscape Value (AGLV). The proposals would not have an adverse impact on

this landscape given no change to the buildings is proposed and there is a lawful use of the site as a sawmill with no restrictions on outside storage.

Land ownership

- 16.56 The extent of land owned by the applicant has been questioned in the responses received to the application and it is apparent from land ownership maps provided by Forestry England that both the buildings that are the subject of this application (and enclosed by the application site red line) are not on land that is owned by Forestry England. The application site does not extend to the bridleway that provides access to the wider road network and the site plan submitted by the applicant does not show the bridleway to be in their ownership.

17.0 Conclusion

- 17.1 The proposal to authorise the use the 2 buildings for General Industry (B2 Use), with the suggested conditions in place to insulate the buildings in the manner set out in the NIA; limit the use of power tool operation to the interior of building 1 (and only with the roller shutter doors closed); restrict hours of use; limit the number of businesses operating at the site to 4 and to prevent outside storage is judged acceptable in accordance with the Development Plan as a whole.

18.0 Recommendation: Approve subject to the following conditions:

1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

Dorset Property Surveys Drawings:

DPS 22/1109 A; DPS 22/1109 B; DPS 22/1108 C; DPS 22/1109 D; DPS 22/1109 J

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

2. The buildings shall be used for B2 use and for no other purpose (including B1, B8 and as a state-funded school in Class B2 of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987, as amended, or in any provision equivalent to that Class in any statutory instrument revoking and re-enacting that Order.

Reason: The Council considers an unrestricted Class B2 use may not be compatible with the living conditions of surrounding residential properties or the access road serving the application site.

3. The site shall only be used by a maximum of 4 businesses.

Reason: To minimise the generation of vehicular movements on the bridleway that serves as an access to the site.

4. The premises shall not be used for the purposes hereby permitted or deliveries taken or dispatched outside the hours of 08:00 to 18:00 on Mondays to Saturdays and at no time on Sundays or Public Holidays.

Reason: To safeguard the character and amenity of the area and living conditions of occupants of adjacent residential properties.

5. The development hereby permitted shall cease within 3 months of the failure to comply with any of the following (i)-(iii):

i) Within 2 months of this decision, details of the manufacturer, colour and type of any external facing and roofing materials required in conjunction with the recommendations of the Impact Acoustics Industrial Noise Impact Assessment reference: IMP7318-1 shall have been submitted to the Local Planning Authority (LPA) for written approval.

ii) Within 2 months of the written agreement of the LPA to the external materials or, if no materials are required, within 3 months of the date of this decision, the sound insulation measures set out in paragraph 6.4 of the Impact Acoustics Industrial Noise Impact Assessment reference: IMP7318-1 shall be fully implemented in accordance with any approved materials details.

iii) if within six months of the date of this decision the local planning authority refuse to approve a scheme submitted in accordance with (i) or fail to give a decision within the prescribed period an appeal shall have been made to, and accepted as valid by, the Secretary of State.

Reason: In the interests of the character of the area and to control the impacts on adjacent residents

6. The sound insulation measures as approved by Condition 3 and paragraph 6.4 of the Impact Acoustics Industrial Noise Impact Assessment reference: IMP7318-1 shall be retained for the time the buildings are used for B2 (General Industrial Use) purposes.

Reason: In the interests of the character of the area and to control the impacts on adjacent residents

7. Power tools and machinery must only be operated within buildings that have roller shutter doors and these doors must be kept closed whilst using power tools and machinery. There shall be no operation of power tools outside the buildings.

Reason: In the interests of the amenity of occupants of adjacent dwellings

8. The buildings at the site shall be occupied by a maximum of 4 businesses.

Reason: To minimise the generation of vehicular movements on the bridleway that serves as an access to the site.

9. Vehicles parked at the site shall only be parked in the spaces indicated on the approved Drawing DPS 22/1109J: Parking Plan dated 17/2/2023. There shall be no HGVs parked on the site overnight.

Reason: To limit the impact of parking on the Green Belt

Informative Notes:

1. Informative: National Planning Policy Framework Statement

In accordance with paragraph 38 of the NPPF the council, as local planning authority, takes a positive approach to development proposals and is focused on providing sustainable development.

The council works with applicants/agents in a positive and proactive manner by:

- offering a pre-application advice service, and
- as appropriate updating applicants/agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application and where possible suggesting solutions.

In this case:

- The applicant/agent was updated of any issues and provided with the opportunity to address issues identified by the case officer.

2. The applicant is advised that the site is in an area where there is a high risk of flooding from groundwater emergence. To ensure the buildings are best protected from groundwater flooding, the measures set out in the Government's ground water flooding advice Groundwater flooding - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) can be implemented in the buildings to provide groundwater flooding resilience. If contaminated water or other polluting liquids are produced on site then these must be correctly disposed of: [Pollution prevention for businesses - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](http://www.gov.uk).


This page is intentionally left blank

● Approximate Site Location

Application reference: P/FUL/2022/07556

Description of development: Retain change of use of buildings to B2 General Industrial Use

Site address: The Old Sawmills, Clayford, Wimborne, BH21 7BJ



This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 7

Eastern Area Planning Committee
19 June 2024

Application Number:	P/FUL/2022/07557		
Webpage:	Planning application: P/FUL/2022/07557 - dorsetforyou.com (dorsetcouncil.gov.uk)		
Site address:	The Old Sawmills Clayford Wimborne BH21 7BJ		
Proposal:	Retain change of use of yard to B2 general Industrial use to be used in conjunction with the buildings		
Applicant name:	Mr J Baker		
Case Officer:	James Brightman		
Ward Member(s):	Cllr Cook (at the time of consultation). Currently Cllr Will Chakawhata.		
Publicity expiry date:	10 March 2023	Officer site visit date:	17 January 2023
Decision due date:	21 June 2024	Ext(s) of time:	21 June 2024
No of Site Notices:	1		
SN displayed reasoning:	To inform third parties of the application		

- 1.0 The application has been referred to committee by the nominated officer in accordance with the Council's Scheme of Delegation Process.
- 1.1 The application should be read in conjunction with the officer report for application reference: P/FUL/2022/07556 which is also before the committee for consideration.
- 2.0 Summary of recommendation:**
GRANT subject to conditions
- 3.0 Reasons for the recommendation:** as set out in Section 16 of this report
 - The industrial use of the yard in association with a sawmill is already established by a previous permission. Subject to conditions, the proposal would not conflict with Local Plan policy PC4; it would not cause significant harm to rural character.
 - Subject to conditions, the proposal would not result in any significant harm to the amenity of the occupants of adjacent dwellings so accords with Local Plan policies DES2 and HE2.

- The proposal would not have a significant impact on the nearby Holt & West Moors Heaths Site of Special Scientific interest (SSSI), Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and Special Protection Area (SPA). No other biodiversity harm is anticipated compared to the lawful use, so the proposal is compliant with policies ME1 and ME2.
- Subject to conditions, no harm to the openness of the Green Belt has been identified compared to the lawful use, so the change of use of the land accords with NPPF Green Belt policy.
- The level of traffic associated with the proposal would not result in harm to highway safety that would justify refusal.
- There are no material considerations which would warrant refusal of this application.

4.0 Key planning issues

Issue	Conclusion
Principle of development	Acceptable, given the lawful use of the site as a sawmill and compliance with the Christchurch and East Dorset Local Plan part 1 - Core Strategy (CED) Policy PC4: The Rural Economy.
Impact on character of area/landscape	<p>Acceptable. Use of existing yard to be continued and there would be no material change in visual impact when compared with the lawful use of the site as a sawmill.</p> <p>Condition 8 as proposed will require any storage to not exceed 2.6m in height in order to limit visual impact of the use of the yard to an appropriate level and would be a potential betterment over the lawful sawmill use.</p> <p>No heritage assets affected.</p>
Impact on the Green Belt	Acceptable. No further loss of openness from the proposal given the lawful use of the site as a sawmill.
Impact on the living conditions of the occupants of neighbouring properties	Acceptable. Proposed Conditions 2,3,4, & 6 will limit the impact on the living conditions of the occupants of neighbouring properties and would be a potential betterment over the lawful sawmill use.
Flood risk and drainage	Acceptable. Use of land will not alter as the lawful sawmill use and the existing general

	industrial use would be unchanged with no impact on flood risk accordingly.
Impact from pollution	Acceptable. Other legislation in place to control pollution: Control of Pollution Act 1974.
Economic benefits	Yes. Retention of the 4 small businesses operating from the site brings economic benefits from employment.
Highway impacts, safety, access and parking	Acceptable. Proposal will not adversely affect road safety and there is acceptable parking provision on the site.
Impact on trees	Acceptable. No trees are to be affected.
Biodiversity	Acceptable. The site has low biodiversity value and there is no requirement under the Dorset Biodiversity Appraisal Protocol to undertake an ecological appraisal or prepare a Biodiversity Plan.
Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROW)	Acceptable. No impediment to PROW from the proposal. Vehicle movements on access to site that is also a PROW would not have a significant impact on users of the PROW compared to the lawful use of the site as a sawmill and its associated vehicle movements.
Impact on Dorset Heathlands	Acceptable. Habitats Regulations Appropriate Assessment (HRA) has concluded no harm to the Dorset Heathlands
EIA (if relevant)	EIA Screening Opinion concludes there is no requirement for EIA.
Habitats Regulations Appropriate Assessment (HRA) in respect of impact on the Holt & West Moors Heaths SPA and SAC from use of the access road to the site	A HRA (agreed by Natural England) has concluded no adverse impact on the adjacent Holt & West Moors Heaths SPA and SAC.

5.0 Description of Site

- 5.1 The site has an area of 0.44 hectares, is relatively level and in general industrial use. It lies to the north of the vehicular access which is also a bridleway and to the east of the dwelling at 4 Clayford Cottages.
- 5.2 There are 2 buildings on the site labelled as Building 1 and 2 on the submitted site plan. Tall fencing forms part of the site's boundaries and this and existing buildings on and adjacent to the site partially screen the site from views into it from the south.




Extract from the site plan submitted with the application


- 5.3 There is a lawful commercial use at Clayford Farm to the southeast of the site where there are nine B2: General Industrial & eight B8: Storage & distribution units. This was given planning approval under planning application 3/19/1435/COU.
- 5.4 To the west of the site there are other dwellings at 1, 2, 2a & 3 Clayford Cottages, The Bothey, Trotters Plot and Little Cimarron. The dwellings at Dunromin and Clayford House lie to the south. Candlewick Cottage is to the southeast behind Clayford Farm.
- 5.5 The character of the area is semi-rural and has a mix of commercial and residential uses in relatively close proximity to one another.
- 5.6 Access to the site is via an unsurfaced track that is also a public bridleway, and this provides access from the west and joins a further unsurfaced track that eventually joins the metalled section of Uddens Drive to the southwest which then joins Pilford Lane and continues to the A31.
- 5.7 The site has a lawful B2 use as a sawmill with timber fencing production and the permission for this use is restricted to a sawmill and timber fence making and therefore planning permission is required for other B2 uses.

6.0 Description of Development


- 6.1 It is proposed to retain the use of the yard in B2 General Industrial use in conjunction with the B2 uses carried out in the 2 buildings identified on the submitted site plan as Buildings 1 & 2 which are shown in the extract from the block plan submitted as part of the associated application (P/FUL/2022/07556) below:



Below: Proposed block plan:



6.2 On-site parking is proposed as part of application in the areas below:



6.3 The agent advises that the businesses currently operating from the site comprise:

- Vehicle repair business
- Repair & servicing of agricultural and horticultural machinery
- Agricultural fabrication
- Production of logs for domestic and biomass fuel

6.4 There are 6 full-time workers and 3 part-time workers currently employed at the site.

7.0 Relevant Planning History

Application reference: 03/79/1234/HST

Use building for making of fencing

Decision: GRANTED - Decision Date: 25/07/1979

Eastern Area Planning Committee
19 June 2024

Officer note: *This building has been replaced by Building 1 by implementing planning permission 03/88/1427/FUL below.*

Application reference: 03/88/1427/FUL

Demolish existing buildings and replace with new

Decision: GRANTED - Decision Date: 26/01/1989

Application reference: 3/20/1191/CLE

Four units A1 to A4 (as shown on submitted Site plan) actively being used for over 5 years, on the understanding that development use was granted /is granted for the following:

- Van repair workshops for existing business at the Sawmill.
- Agricultural machinery, wood cutting equipment repair work.
- Ten steel container units, along with Static Office.

Decision: GRANTED (split decision) Decision Date: 21/10/2021

Officer Note: *This application has established the lawfulness of Buildings 1 & 2 in terms of them being operational development but there was insufficient evidence of continuous B2 use for more than 10 years so their current use was not accepted as lawful, hence the submission of the current application.*

Application reference: P/FUL/2022/07557

Retain change of use of yard to B2 general Industrial use to be used in conjunction with the buildings.

Decision: Application under consideration

Application reference: P/FUL/2023/00500

Retain log drying shed.

Decision: Refused Decision Date: 17/03/2023

Subsequent Appeal: DISMISSED

Application reference: P/FUL/2023/07271

Erect building for the drying of logs

Decision: Refused Decision Date 19/02/2024

Associated planning application on neighbouring site- Clayford Farm:

Application reference: 3/19/1435/COU

Change of use of buildings to commercial uses under B2 General Industrial and B8 Storage & Distribution - retrospective application. (amended description)

Decision: Approved Decision Date 11/06/2020

8.0 List of Constraints

- Greenbelt: Bournemouth Greenbelt
- 400m Dorset Heathland buffer, Description: Holt & West Moors Heaths
- Public Right of Way: Footpath E45/16 (Distance: 5.77m) and Bridleway E45/15; - (Distance: 1.37m)
- SGN - High pressure gas pipeline (Distance: 97.55m)
- Environment Agency - JBA - risk of groundwater emergence; groundwater levels are either at or very near (within 0.025m of) the ground surface.; Within this zone there is a risk of groundwater flooding to both surface and subsurface assets. groundwater may emerge at significant rates and has the capacity to flow overland and/or pond within any topographic low spots.
- Designated ancient woodland: park/garden copses; Ancient replanted woodland (Distance: 478.84m) and ancient & semi-natural woodland – (Distance: 479.27m)
- Higher Potential ecological network
- Natural England Designation - RAMSAR: Dorset Heathlands (UK11021); (Distance: 1925.84m)
- Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) impact risk zone
- Area of Great Landscape Value (AGLV) immediately to the N of the site

9.0 Consultations

Consultees

1. Natural England

After considering the Council's draft Habitat Regulations Appropriate Assessment (AA) dated 17/4/24, Natural England has raised No Objection to the Council granting the planning permission in respect of the matters considered in the AA.

NE advises the Council should be mindful of the need to secure any mitigation/avoidance measures which have been identified as being required to avoid harm to the habitats sites and suitable legally binding agreements may be required which will need to take into account mitigation which must be in place prior to commencement/occupation or where ongoing impacts are long term in perpetuity.

2. Dorset Wildlife Trust

- Insufficient ecological information currently provided on which to assess the impacts of the development upon locally designated sites and biodiversity, which should be given due regard as per the *Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006*, National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021 and Circular 06/2005. DWT can provide further comment once additional information has been submitted.
- Impacts on the adjacent Deciduous Woodland Priority Habitat and the nearby designated heathland sites. May also have impacts on the SNCI habitats & Natural England should be consulted in terms of this impact.
- Impact from dust and other airborne pollutants associated with transport and access to the application site on Holt and West Moors Heaths SSSI which comprises Lowland Heathland Priority Habitat.
- Heavy use of unmetalled tracks can result in large quantities of dust being generated during dry periods and runoff of surface matter and pollutants following heavy rain. Impacts on sensitive habitats can also arise through deposition of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) from vehicle exhausts.
- Encroachment onto adjoining Forestry England Land by heavy vehicles and widening of the track impacting adjacent land. Habitats immediately adjacent to the access track may provide supporting habitat for the designated sites and it is likely that species associated with these habitats are also using the trackside areas. Heavy vehicle use of the track and unauthorised encroachment onto adjacent land may cause direct damage to these habitats and risk killing and injury of protected species.
- If upgrading of the access track is required to allow the continued use of this site, this must be included in the application.
- The change of use of the site from sawmill and production of timber products to vehicle and machinery storage and repair also has the potential to impact on adjacent sites and habitats.
- The storage of timber products has a significantly different environmental impact compared with the potential impacts arising from vehicle and machinery servicing and repair and no evidence has been provided to demonstrate that the site has the appropriate infrastructure for safe storage, drainage and waste management associates with these activities.
- Without appropriate measures in place and a suitable buffer to safeguard adjacent habitats, significant ecological impacts may result from spills, runoff and groundwater contamination from chemicals, fuels or other materials used or stored on the site

3. Dorset Council Highways

- No objection

4. Dorset Council Environmental Services – Protection

- An acoustic assessment conforming to appropriate standards has been submitted in support of this application and as detailed, use of appropriate mitigation strategies will result in a low impact upon nearby noise sensitive dwellings.

6. Dorset Council - Rights of Way Officer

No comments received in response to the consultation for this application. However, comments below received to related application P/FUL/2022/07556:

- Since the grant of a previous change of use application to B2 general industrial use in this locale there has been a sharp rise in complaints from users to the Rights of Way Team. These are mainly due to the greatly increased amount of traffic using the bridleways to serve the industrial units.
- The increased volume, size and speed of the traffic not only negatively impacts on the safety of users but also on the perception of reduced safety of the users and as such we would not support this application.

7. Dorset Council Natural Environment Team (NET)

- The limited habitat on site puts it outside the scope of Dorset Biodiversity Appraisal Protocol
- The Biodiversity Checklist does not require any surveys or a Biodiversity Plan.
- The Protocol doesn't cover the indirect impacts mentioned by Dorset Wildlife Trust and the Forestry Commission

8. Holt Parish Council

- OBJECTS to the apparent industrial site in this inappropriate location.
- The application documentation shows a low level of use of the site in the 2002 photograph, 2022 shows many HGVs on site.
- Concerned about the environmental impact on the protected Holt Heaths, the likely detrimental impact on a SSSI and also on the amenities of the neighbours from the high number of HGVs operating at unsocial hours/speed etc.
- The bridleway is over private land and not in the ownership of the applicant. Not clear if the application site boundary is all within the ownership of the applicant.

9. Former Ward Member - Stour & Allen Vale Ward- Cllr Robin Cook

No response received to this application. However, Cllr Cook made the following comments for the related application P/FUL/2022/07556:

- Concern raised regarding issues around access to the site.
- There have been ongoing disagreements between local residents and an existing operation (with planning consent) at Clayford Farm which adjoins the site of the above application, as well as the currently unauthorised use of the Sawmills site. These are mainly related to the volume and type of vehicle now using the access track and damage to an existing bridleway which shares the

track and is under the control of DC, together with damage to Forestry England land.

- Given the general nature of class B2 development and the potential for subsequent change to B8 there would be no control of traffic volume, size of vehicles or the nature of the materials transported and stored on site. All of this is likely to compound the damage being caused now.
- The access rights over the track are in dispute as it appears to be in the hands of various owners and these need to be properly clarified before any further consideration of this application, and a Stop Notice be put on the Sawmills unauthorised operation by Enforcement until such time that matter of access rights is resolved.
- Maintenance of the track seems to be done on a very ad hoc basis and, whilst not the responsibility of DC Highways, some formal arrangement/agreement needs to be put in place before any continuation of operations at this site.
- There is nothing in the planning statement regarding mitigation of the negative ecological impact that may result from spills, runoff and groundwater contamination from chemicals, fuels or other materials used or stored on the site.

Representations received

Total - Objections	Total - No Objections	Total - Comments
32	2	2

Summary of comments of objections:

- not sustainable development
- danger to horses, cyclists and pedestrians from traffic using the bridleway- increase in the size, speed and volume of traffic associated with industrial use. British Horse Society reports complaints from horse riders relating to traffic.
- site use has markedly increased traffic compared to lawful sawmill site- vehicle movements in excess of 100 per day
- unmade access track width and construction considered by East Dorset Environmental Partnership to be unsuitable for regular, heavy traffic generated by the uses- B2 general industrial (and potential B8 storage or distribution) uses have potential to compound the damage to the bridleway.
- incidents of trespass by heavy vehicles reported by the Forestry England who own the access track for 250m either side of The Old Sawmill- encroachment by track widening during maintenance work not agreed with other users.
- impact on wildlife- encroachment into SSSI next to access, loss of trees
- pollution from dust, noise, air and vibration
- out of character with rural area adjacent to dwellings- industrial estate site would be more suitable
- use increase the number of incidents for Dorset Council Rights of Way Team

- site operates seven days per week between 6:00 AM until 10:00 PM or later-limiting hours of operation would be ineffective given rural location of site and difficulty to enforce by the Council
- Site used for roofing and scaffolding companies x 2 to store equipment, storage of boats, caravans, jet skis, bangers, farm vehicles and wood (with retail of wood)
- employment on site is not local and employees drive in from urban areas
- noise report failed to consider all site activities/operation of noisy machinery

Summary of comments of support:

- no adverse effects from noise, traffic, and disturbance
- small rural businesses need to be supported
- sawmill provides employment
- no increase in traffic or activity in recent years
- use does not generate many large vehicles

10.0 Duties

s38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that the determination of planning applications must be in accordance with the development plan unless material circumstances indicate otherwise.

11.0 Relevant Policies

Development Plan

Adopted Christchurch and East Dorset Local Plan:

KS1 - Presumption in favour of sustainable development

KS2- Settlement hierarchy

KS3 - Green Belt

KS11 - Transport and Development

KS12- Parking Provision

HE3 - Landscape Quality

ME1- Safeguarding biodiversity and geodiversity

ME2- Dorset Heathlands

ME6- Flood Management, Mitigation and Defence

PC4 – The rural economy

East Dorset Local Plan 2002 (saved policies)

DES2- Developments will not be permitted which will either impose or suffer unacceptable impacts on or from existing or likely future development or land uses in terms of noise, smell, safety, health, lighting, disturbance, traffic or

other pollution.

Material Considerations

Emerging Local Plans:

Paragraph 48 of the NPPF provides that local planning authorities may give weight to relevant policies in emerging plans according to:

- the stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced its preparation, the greater the weight that may be given);
- the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant plan policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and
- the degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the NPPF (the closer the policies in the emerging plan are to the policies of the NPPF, the greater the weight that may be given).

The Dorset Council Local Plan

The Dorset Council Local Plan Options Consultation took place between January and March 2021. Being at a very early stage of preparation, the relevant policies in the Draft Dorset Council Local Plan should be accorded very limited weight in decision making.

Emerging Neighbourhood Plans

None relevant.

National Planning Policy Framework

Paragraph 11 sets out the presumption in favour of sustainable development. Development plan proposals that accord with the development plan should be approved without delay. Where the development plan is absent, silent, or relevant policies are out-of-date then permission should be granted unless any adverse impacts of approval would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits when assessed against the NPPF or specific policies in the NPPF indicate development should be restricted.

Other relevant NPPF sections include:

- Section 4 'Decision making': Para 38 - Local planning authorities should approach decisions on proposed development in a positive and creative way. They should use the full range of planning tools available...and work proactively with applicants to secure developments that will improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. Decision-makers at every level should seek to approve applications for sustainable development where possible.

- Section 6 'Building a strong, competitive economy', paragraphs 88 and 89 'Supporting a prosperous rural economy' promotes the sustainable growth and expansion of all types of business and enterprise in rural areas, through conversion of existing buildings, the erection of well-designed beautiful new buildings, and supports sustainable tourism and leisure developments where identified needs are not met by existing rural service centres.
- Section 11 'Making effective use of land'
- Section 12 'Achieving well designed and beautiful places' indicates that all development to be of a high quality in design, and the relationship and visual impact of it to be compatible with the surroundings. In particular, and amongst other things, Paragraphs 131 – 141 advise that:

The creation of high quality, beautiful and sustainable buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development.

Development that is not well designed should be refused, especially where it fails to reflect local design policies and government guidance on design.
- Section 13 'Protecting Green Belt land'
- Section 14 'Meeting the challenges of climate change, flooding and coastal change'
- Section 15 'Conserving and Enhancing the Natural Environment'- Paragraphs 185-188 set out how biodiversity is to be protected and encourage net gains for biodiversity.

Other material considerations

Dorset Heathlands Planning Framework 2020-2025 Supplementary Planning Document

Dorset Heathlands Interim Air Quality Statement 2/3/2021

Dorset Council Interim Guidance and Position Statement Appendix B: Adopted Local Plan policies and objectives relating to climate change, renewable energy, and sustainable design and construction. December 2023.

12.0 Human rights

Article 6 - Right to a fair trial.

Article 8 - Right to respect for private and family life and home.

The first protocol of Article 1 Protection of property.

This recommendation is based on adopted Development Plan policies, the application of which does not prejudice the Human Rights of the applicant or any third party.

13.0 Public Sector Equalities Duty

As set out in the Equalities Act 2010, all public bodies, in discharging their functions must have “due regard” to this duty. There are 3 main aims: -

- Removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics
- Taking steps to meet the needs of people with certain protected characteristics where these are different from the needs of other people
- Encouraging people with certain protected characteristics to participate in public life or in other activities where participation is disproportionately low.

Whilst there is no absolute requirement to fully remove any disadvantage the Duty is to have “regard to” and remove or minimise disadvantage and in considering the merits of this planning application the planning authority has taken into consideration the requirements of the Public Sector Equalities Duty.

It is considered that the proposal would not result in any disadvantage to persons with protected characteristics.

14.0 Financial benefits

What	Amount / value
Material Considerations	
6 jobs likely to be retained	N/A
Non-Material Considerations	
Continuation of payment of Business Rates	Unknown

15.0 Environmental Implications

15.1 There are no new buildings proposed. The lawful use of the site as a sawmill has environmental implications from the operation of machinery and traffic generation and the existing use of the buildings has similar impacts. The screening process associated with Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations 2017 and Conservation of Species and Habitats Regulations 2017 have concluded the proposal would not have a significant impact on the environment.

16.0 Planning Assessment

Principle of development

16.1 The site is located outside of settlements identified in Policy KS2 of the Core Strategy which focusses development on the settlements set out in the settlement hierarchy in this policy and therefore the proposal does not accord with this policy. However, the Core Strategy accepts that not all uses can be accommodated within settlements in the settlement hierarchy and Policy PC4: The Rural Economy relates to business uses in the countryside and is relevant to the proposal.

- 16.2 The site has a lawful use as a sawmill producing fencing and this is a legitimate fall-back for the use of the site. This is a B2 general industrial use but condition 3 of permission 03/88/1427/FUL limits the use of the site to a sawmill and prevents alternative B2 uses without planning permission.
- 16.3 Given the fall-back position, it is considered that a different B2 use would be acceptable in principle provided it was unlikely to result in significant harmful impacts on the locality from its operation and this is discussed below.
- 16.4 The site is not accessible from settlements by public transport and so employees of the B2 uses would likely come by private vehicle given the distance from the nearest settlements and this weighs against the proposal. However, it is difficult to distinguish these impacts from those arising in relation to the lawful sawmill use.
- 16.5 Paragraph 85 of the NPPF encourages the use of previously developed land and states that significant weight is to be placed on the need to support economic growth & productivity
- 16.6 NPPF Paragraph 88 a) supports the enabling of sustainable growth of all rural businesses.
- 16.7 NPPF Paragraph 89 states that decisions should recognise the need to provide sites in rural areas beyond existing settlements and in areas not well-served by public transport provided development is sensitive to its surroundings and does not have an unacceptable impact on rural roads. This matter is considered later in the report.

Impact on character and appearance of area/landscape

- 16.8 The proposed retention of use of the yard for B2 General Industrial use in relation to the existing B2 use of the buildings would have implications on the character and appearance of the area and landscape on account of outside storage and parked vehicles. The land immediately to the north of the application site is within an Area of Great Landscape Value (AGLV). The proposals would not have an adverse impact on this landscape given no change to the buildings is proposed and there is a lawful use of the site as a sawmill with no restrictions on outside storage.
- 16.9 The lawful use of the site as a sawmill also has the same implications and this use has no restriction on areas/height of storage or parking. Conditions 5 (parking), 7 (no portable buildings without consent) and 8 (restriction of height of outside storage to 2.6m- the size of a standard container) would potentially offer a betterment for the character and appearance of the area and landscape given there is currently no control over such structures/parking should the site be operated in accordance with the lawful sawmill use.

Impact on the Green Belt (GB)

- 16.10 The only exception to inappropriate development in the GB in the NPPF that could apply to the proposal is paragraph 155 e) which allows material changes in use of

land provided this would preserve Green Belt openness and not conflict with the purposes of including land within the GB.

- 16.11 Parking spaces on the site will have an impact on Green Belt openness from parked vehicles when these spaces are occupied, as would any outside storage associated with the B2 use proposed. However, the lawful sawmill use of building 1 (building A) and its curtilage would also have generated outside parking and storage of timber and machinery. It is unlikely that the proposal would have a materially greater impact on openness compared to this fall-back position nor would there be any further encroachment into the countryside.
- 16.12 The proposal is acceptable in respect of its impact on openness with conditions to require vehicles to be parked in the spaces shown on the submitted parking plan and to restrict the height of stored items to 2.6m above ground level which is the height of a standard container (condition 8).
- 16.13 On this basis, the proposal represents appropriate development in the Green Belt.

Impact on the amenity of occupants of adjacent dwellings

- 16.14 The site lies close to a residential property at 4 Clayford Cottages to the west and there are properties beyond this. Concerns have been raised by local residents about noise and disturbance. The use of the yard for B2 purposes will generate some noise from operations undertaken within it and to assess this a Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) dated 28-29/1/23 was submitted.

Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) findings

- 16.15 The dates of the NIA fell on a Saturday and Sunday, but the agent advises that this was while the usual businesses were in operation, during standard working hours. These businesses include vehicle mechanic, agricultural repairs, fabrication business and the log processing and drying businesses, along with all vehicle movements associated with those businesses.
- 16.16 The NIA assessed the operation of the proposed plant to establish if the development proposed would have a demonstrable adverse effect in terms of noise that outweigh the benefits of the development.
- 16.17 Measurements were undertaken in accordance with British Standard 4142:2019 and ISO 1996 – Part 2: 2017 and the NIA established the existing background noise levels at the closest residential façade to the proposed plant locations and the assessment of the impact of the site operation on nearby residential properties.
- 16.18 The NIA concluded that the resulting emissions from the plant running on a worst-case scenario show no conflict with 'low impact' criteria and give a strong indication that complaint and impact on the local amenity is unlikely during operational hours.
- 16.19 The Council's Environmental Health Officer has considered the NIA and has no adverse comments to make in relation to the noise assessment submitted which concludes there will be a low noise impact upon nearby receptors. In respect of the proposed B2 use of the yard, conditions are advised to require:

- Restriction of work activities and deliveries to Mon-Sat 08:00 - 18:00 & No activity or deliveries on Sundays or Bank Holidays
- No use of power tools outside the buildings
- Restriction of use of the yard to B2 only and no use within B1 or B8
- Restriction of the number of businesses operating from the site to 4

- 16.20 Under planning permission 3/79/1234 the lawful sawmill operation is not subject to any controls, however it is judged necessary and reasonable to impose the above conditions to ensure that the proposed development accords with saved policy DES2 of the CS which requires that development should not impose unacceptable impacts on existing or likely future development including issues of noise and disturbance. The proposed operating hours would accord with those approved for the adjoining commercial site assisting with enforceability.
- 16.21 Subject to these conditions the proposal is unlikely to result in additional harm to neighbours and could result in a betterment for the amenity of the occupants of the nearby dwellings compared to the lawful sawmill use, the operation of which was unfettered.
- 16.22 On this basis, it is considered the proposal is acceptable in respect of its impact on the amenity of adjacent residents and the application complies with Saved Policy DES2 of the East Dorset Local Plan and Policy HE2 of the Core Strategy.

Impact from pollution

- 16.23 Representations have been received that raise concerns over pollution arising from the B2 use. In this respect, the agent advises that:
- The applicants have a contract with BIFFA waste contractors and waste is removed on a fortnightly basis. Metal waste is taken to the scrapyards operated by G Sait at Ferndown Industrial Estate. All transfers are recorded.
 - The businesses do not carry out any operations likely to cause air pollution apart from exhaust fumes from the running of vehicles. No paint spraying or similar operations are undertaken, as they do not have appropriate facilities.
 - Fuels are stored in bunded tanks in accordance with ISO 9000 and government regulations for the safe storage of fuels.
 - Oils are stored on catch trays, meeting government regulations (Storing oil at your business - [GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk)).
 - Biodegradable hydraulic oil is used in all hydraulic systems on site (Terralus SB46) which if spilt is environmentally friendly. Spill kits are available in the yard and in every unit.
 - There is no runoff from vehicle washing or similar operations.
- 16.24 On the basis of the above, it is not considered there would be an adverse impact from pollution from the proposal as planning conditions should not duplicate other legislation (Control of Pollution Act 1974), which is in place to control pollution.

Economic benefits

- 16.25 Retention of the 4 small businesses operating from the site brings economic benefits from employment. The lawful use as a sawmill would also bring such benefits.

Highway impacts

- 16.26 The site is accessed via an unadopted road that is an unsurfaced gravel track that also serves the other businesses and properties in Uddens Drive. Dorset Council's Highways Officer has raised no objection on the grounds of highway safety.
- 16.27 The site's lawful use as a sawmill generated traffic movements and there are no planning conditions to limit the intensity of use of a sawmill operating from the site to restrict vehicle movements. From the information provided, the access track to the site is not in the applicant's ownership but this does not prevent a planning assessment as it is the applicant's responsibility to obtain the necessary permissions from whoever owns the access track to be able to use it and this is not a material planning consideration.
- 16.28 To understand the typical traffic flows from the site, the applicant has submitted the results of a traffic survey undertaken over a 4 week period on the track outside the site entrance.
- 16.29 This revealed a weekly average of 323 vehicles (646 movements) using the track in addition to 153 horse riders, bicycle and walker movements, of which 82 were visiting the application site and this number included an average of 1 heavy goods vehicle a week. On the basis of this information, the sawmills account for 25% of vehicular traffic using that part of the track.
- 16.30 This data would have included traffic movements to the unauthorised scaffolding businesses operating in 2023 which have ceased operations and mobile home (now removed from site), so it is anticipated that the number of vehicle movements would now be lower.
- 16.31 For the buildings at the site, the Dorset Council non-residential Parking Guidance anticipates that 14 spaces might be needed for the 420 sq metres of industrial floor space within the buildings and space for 2 HGVs. There is no guidance on pure changes of use of land without buildings. The number of proposed small vehicle spaces (10) fall short of this, but the application is retrospective, so the applicant has had the opportunity to assess the appropriate number of spaces to serve the use of the buildings. There is sufficient space for larger vehicles to turn on the site and the Council's Highways Officer has not objected on this basis. A condition (number 5) will direct vehicles to the proposed parking areas.
- 16.32 For the above reasoning, it is considered the proposal would not have an adverse impact on highway safety and there is adequate on-site parking proposed to serve the businesses operating from the site.

Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROW)

- 16.33 The application site is accessed from the west via an unmade track which also is a bridleway. The bridleway skirts round the east side of the land owned by the applicant where the buildings are located and continues to the northeast.
- 16.34 The proposed use of the site for B2 general industrial uses introduces 4 businesses to the site when 1 previously existed and this has potential to bring more vehicles to the site than the sawmill use as the businesses operated according to the agent are Vehicle repair; Repair & servicing of agricultural and horticultural machinery; Agricultural fabrication and Production of logs for fuel.
- 16.35 These uses attract vehicle movements from both small and large vehicles with these vehicles contributing to the wear and tear on the bridleway access which is the sole vehicular access to the site and such movements impact the rural character of the area and rural roads.
- 16.36 However, the sawmill use has no restrictions on traffic movements, and it is not considered the proposal may be reasonably resisted on the basis of additional traffic generation and its impact on surrounding rural roads.
- 16.37 The applicant's agent has advised that when in operation the sawmill had several HGV deliveries of raw timber every week, with numerous vans and lorries taking out the finished products. The yard was also open for the sale of timber fence panels direct to the public.
- 16.38 It is likely that a sawmill use would attract significant vehicle movements and the related planning permission 3/88/1427 has no restriction on traffic movements.
- 16.39 The proposal does not block or impede the PROW for other users, but the use of the site and the vehicle movements generated by it have an impact on the users of the PROW.
- 16.40 The applicant has undertaken a traffic assessment of vehicles using the access track and PROW over a period of 4 weeks and advises that on a weekly average these show 323 vehicles (646 movements) using the track plus 153 horse riders, bicycle and walker movements. Of the weekly average of 323 motor vehicles, 82 were taking access to the sawmills site and this number included an average of 1 heavy goods vehicle a week.
- 16.41 Consequently, the sawmills account for 25% of vehicular traffic using that part of the track. These figures do not include traffic movements to and from the residential properties, heathland and woodland that are located to the west of the site, due to the cameras not covering that part of the track. The 82 vehicles visiting the old sawmills would have included visits to the unauthorised scaffolding businesses operating in 2023 which have ceased operations and mobile home (now removed from site), so it is anticipated that the number of vehicle movements could now be lower.
- 16.42 Concerns have been raised in the representations received about the physical impact on the access track from vehicles visiting the site and on other users of this PROW. The track is used by multiple dwellings and businesses. Whilst impacts on the rural character of the track from additional traffic can be given some weight in the decision making, it is judged unreasonable to refuse planning permission for the current proposal for general industrial use of the site in the light of the lawful use as a

sawmill and fence making which has no restriction on intensity of use or vehicle movements.

- 16.44 The physical impact on the access track by vehicles being driven onto the edges of the track cannot be controlled in any planning permission and it is the responsibility of drivers of vehicles using the track to stay within the width of the access. Any damage to the PROW is controlled under rights of way legislation and is a private matter should such damage occur to land in the control of other parties.
- 16.45 The lawful right to use the access track to the site is not a planning consideration and it is the applicant's responsibility to obtain the necessary permissions for the use of this access. It is considered that the proposed general industrial use of the site cannot be reasonably resisted on the basis it would result in a harmful impact on the PROW.

Impact on Dorset Heathlands (Holt & West Moors Heaths)

- 16.46 Holt & West Moors Heaths is a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), which also forms part of the Dorset Heathlands Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and Dorset Heathlands Special Protection Area (SPA), known as 'Habitat Sites'. The map extract below shows the SSSI shaded purple, and the application site indicated with a red arrow:




Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

- 16.47 The site is wholly outside the SSSI which is a sensitive area in respect of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations 2017, but these are in close proximity.
- 16.48 The use of the buildings for general industrial use has potential for air and ground pollution arising from traffic movements associated with the businesses operating from them and the processes undertaken within them. The former use of the site for processing timber also had impacts from air pollution from machinery and traffic movements.

- 16.49 As set out above, a traffic assessment has identified that the trip rate associated with the development is limited.
- 16.50 The proposal has been screened in terms of whether an EIA is required, and the Screening Opinion has concluded that the Development is not likely to have significant effects on the environment and no EIA is needed.

Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA)

- 16.51 The Dorset Explorer extract below shows the proximity of the Holt & West Moors Heaths Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and Special Protection Area (SPA) Heathland to the site and access track.



- 16.52 The access track comes within 200m of the heathland for around 1200m and in this zone the Heathlands are sensitive to nitrogen deposition from road traffic.
- 16.53 The Council's Environmental Assessment Officer advises that the predicted average annual daily traffic flow screening threshold for a Habitat Regulations Appropriate Assessment in Natural England's guidance note on the assessment of road traffic emissions is 1000 and the threshold for HGV movements is 200.
- 16.54 The traffic generated by the site is currently 82 movements per week which is below the screening threshold and the same screening threshold 'in-combination' with other plans and projects which may result in an increase in combustion vehicle traffic on this section of the access must be considered.
- 16.55 At present there are no projects evident in the vicinity that are planned or being considered for planning permission that would generate traffic on the access track and so in terms of the in-combination effects, there would be none to add to the effects from the proposal.
- 16.56 A Habitats Regulations Assessment agreed by Natural England has concluded the proposal would not result in a significant impact on the heathlands with mitigation which has already been secured under the Dorset Heathlands Interim Air Quality Strategy 2020 to 2025. For these reasons there is therefore no need to secure further mitigation via conditions or a planning obligation.

Impact on biodiversity

- 16.57 The site area is 0.45 hectares and currently used for commercial purposes and is mainly covered by hard surfacing.
- 16.58 The Council's Natural Environment Team has advised that the limited habitat on site puts it outside the scope of Dorset Biodiversity Appraisal Protocol and the Protocol doesn't cover the indirect impacts mentioned by Dorset Wildlife Trust and the Forestry Commission and the Biodiversity Checklist does not require any surveys or a Biodiversity Plan

Flooding

- 16.59 The site is shown to be susceptible to high groundwater levels by the Council's Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment with a potential risk of flooding. Given the lawful use of the site for the B2 sawmill use, and no change in how surface water from the site would be disposed of, there is considered to be no increase in risk from groundwater flooding from the proposal.

Land ownership

- 16.60 The extent of land owned by the applicant has been questioned in the responses received to the application and it appears from land ownership maps provided by Forestry England that the red application site for the current application does not encroach onto land owned by Forestry England. The application site does not extend to the bridleway that provides access to the wider road network and the site plan submitted by the applicant does not show the bridleway to be in their ownership.

17.0 Conclusion

- 17.1 The proposal to authorise the use of the yard for general industrial uses ancillary to general industrial use of the existing buildings at the site can be made acceptable in accordance with the Development Plan by the imposition of conditions to restrict use of the yard to purposes ancillary to the B2 use of the buildings; restrict hours of use/for deliveries; limit number of businesses operating from the site to 4; prohibit use of power tools in the open; remove permitted development rights for new buildings, prohibit portable buildings and restrict height of outside storage to 2.6m.

18.0 Recommendation: Approve subject to the following conditions:

1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

Location Plan

Drawing DPS 22/1109 G: Site Plan

Drawing DPS 22/1109 H: Site Plan

Drawing DPS 22/1109 J: Parking Plan

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

2. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development)(England) Order 2015 (as amended) or any subsequent reenactment thereof, with or without modification, the site shall be used only for purposes ancillary to uses within Class B2 of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended), or any provision equivalent to that Class in any statutory instrument revoking and re-enacting that Order which is operating from Buildings 1 and 2 only and for no other purpose.

Reason: To control future uses in the interests of the character of the area and neighbouring amenity.

3. The site shall not be used for the purposes hereby permitted nor shall deliveries be taken or dispatched outside the hours of 08:00 to 18:00 on Mondays to Saturdays and at no time on Sundays or Public Holidays.

Reason: To safeguard the character and amenity of the area and living conditions of occupants of adjacent residential properties.

4. The site shall only be used by a maximum of 4 businesses in operation at any time.

Reason: To minimise the generation of vehicular movements on the bridleway that serves as an access to the site.

5. Vehicles parked at the site shall only be parked in the spaces indicated on the approved Drawing DPS 22/1109J: Parking Plan dated 17/2/2023.

Reason: To limit the impact of parking on the Green Belt

6. There shall be no operation of power tools in the open outside the buildings.

Reason: In the interests of the amenity of occupants of adjacent dwellings

7. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) order 2015 (as amended) no further industrial buildings shall be erected on the site. Additionally, there shall be no portable buildings placed on the land nor any caravan(s) sited on the land for purposes ancillary to the use of the land without express planning permission.

Reason: In the interests of the openness of the Green Belt and the to control the intensity of the use of the site with associated impacts on the character of the area and neighbouring amenity.

8. The height of any outside storage at the site shall not exceed 2.6m above ground level.

Reason: To limit the impact on the visual openness of the Green Belt and character of the area

Informative Notes:

1. Informative: National Planning Policy Framework Statement

In accordance with paragraph 38 of the NPPF the council, as local planning authority, takes a positive approach to development proposals and is focused on providing sustainable development.

The council works with applicants/agents in a positive and proactive manner by:

- offering a pre-application advice service, and
- as appropriate updating applicants/agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application and where possible suggesting solutions.

In this case:

- The applicant/agent was updated of any issues and provided with the opportunity to address issues identified by the case officer.


2. The applicant is advised that the site is in an area where there is potential for a high risk of flooding from groundwater emergence. If contaminated water or other polluting liquids are produced on site then these must be correctly disposed of: [Pollution prevention for businesses - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/guidance/pollution-prevention-for-businesses).

● Approximate Site Location

Application reference: P/FUL/2022/07557

Description of development: Retain change of use of yard to B2 general Industrial use to be used in conjunction with the buildings

Site address: The Old Sawmills, Clayford, Wimborne, BH21 7BJ



This page is intentionally left blank